order and declare that all such Irish, that are or shall turn Tories or woodkerne as aforesaid, shall not be capable of or expect to receive any mercy or favour, but that they be prosecuted according to their respective demerits." 15 April. Orders A/10. 10. f. 61.

817. H. Lawrence to the Lord Deputy and Council.

In consequence of his quiet, sober and religious behaviour, the sequestration placed on the estate of Sir Alexander Stuart,[1] who having refused to join Ormond, went to Scotland and taking up arms against the Commonwealth was killed at the battle of Dunbar, is to be taken off, in the interest of his widow Dame Katherine Stuart and son. 15 April. Letters of the Lord Protector and Council A/28. 26. f. 77.

818. T. Herbert to Mr Hambden.[2]

" There is a youth now in Dublin, whose father Colonel Bagenall[3] suffered about four years since at Kilkenny by sentence of the High Court of Justice, whereby his estate, which was considerable, became forfeited to the Commonwealth. He left divers young children. . . . The Council here are desirous that this young man should be bound an apprentice to some person in London, where he may be virtuously trained up, and by benefit of good education and distance hence be wholly estranged from his Popish relations. . . ." 16 April. Letters of Lord Deputy and Council A/30. 28. f. 146.

819. The Council to the Lord Protector.

" By many former humble addresses unto your Highness and Council we have made known the miserable condition this nation is in through that vast quantity of Peru and other base and counterfeit coin this poor nation hath of late been burdened with. Indeed we are not able (so fully as we would) to express our resentment of this growing evil, the general discontent it bears upon most men's hearts, nor the prejudice that is likely to arise unless some speedy remedy be applied; for, like a

[1] Son of Sir William Stuart of Ramelton.
[2] Presumably Richard Hampden, John Hampden's second son.
[3] See above, p. 148. The youth mentioned was Dudley Bagenall. For details regarding his case see *Cal. State Papers*, Irel., 1661, pp. 229-231.